UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,033	07/11/2003	Yaron Keidar	50572/W112	4112	
	7590 02/01/201 <b>RKER &amp; HALE, LLP</b>	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 7068		COLELLO, ERIN L			
PASADENA, C	A 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,033	KEIDAR, YARON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIN COLELLO	3734		

	ERIN COLELLO	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abarrit, or other evidence, very with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH b.	ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NC v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: The newly added limitations "have free dist	al ends" change the scope of the	claims in such a way t	that requires
further search and/or consideration by the Examne	<u>r.</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(	a)).	_
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3.4.7.9-17.19 and 23-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Gary Jackson/	/E. C./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734 January 30, 2011	Examiner, Art Unit 3734	1	